

The Role of FDG-PET/CT in Detecting Bone Marrow involvement in Diffuse

Ajaz Bulbul^{1,2}, Emilio Araujo¹, Siham Chou al¹, Adriana Bau Bia³, Asrar Mastafat, Hassan Alboud⁵, Sadaf Rashad⁶, Tareq Braik¹, Masoud Khorsand¹

1. Kymera Independent Physicians, Carlsbad Roswell|Hobbs, New Mexico, United States, 88220; 2. Texas Tech University Health Sciences

- Center, Lubbock, TX, USA:
- 3. University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, USA; 4. Acharya Shri Chander College of Medical Sciences, Sidhra, Jammu, IND; 5. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, USA

6. All Saints School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, United States, 60301

BACKGROUND

Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common subtype of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL). Disease involves the bone marrow in up to 27% of cases 1

Positron emission tomography (PET), increasingly combined with computed tomography (PET-CT), is now a routine part of staging DLBCL to accurately evaluate nodal involvement.

Histologic evidence of DLBCL in staging marrow biopsy adversely affected overall survival (OS) and event-free survival, independent of the IPI² DLBCL with focal marrow deposits identified by PET-CT but not biopsy had progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival similar to stage IV disease without involved marrow. Positive biopsy however may seem to confer inferior PFS in retrospective studies ³

The role of FDG-PET/CT in staging of Diffuse Large B-Cell lymphoma (DLBCL) remains unclear. PET/CT provides a high level of accuracy for identifying focal skeletal marrow disease in Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL)4.

Bone marrow (BM) involvement by histology may have had a prognostic impact in older series of aggressive NHL with higher CNS involvement 5 more recent studies in patients treated with rituximab-containing chemotherapy regimens suggest that this may no longer be true in DLBCL^{4,6}and HL ⁷.

Whether the omission of staging Bone marrow biopsy (BMB) would change the risk assessment or treatment strategy of DLBCL is not known and whether difficulties in the correct interpretation of diffuse FDG uptake in bone marrow, leading to false-positives and poor specificity as has been previously reported in HL and NHL8 can still be an issue is not clear.

METHODS

This is a retrospective study of 114 patients with DLBCL from three community oncology practices in New Mexico, USA between January 1996- September 2016 Patients receiving BMB and PET/CT were included.

Imaging was acquired 90 minutes after administration of 370 MBq FDG. Focal or diffuse marrow involvement was identified by PET trained radiologist in all cases as previously described9.

Unilateral iliac crest biopsy and aspirate was used for staging is standard. Marrow biopsy specimens were obtained within 1 month of staging PET-CT. Descriptive statistics and a Chi-square methods were used to evaluate associations

RESULTS

Mean age at diagnosis was 66 years (23-92), 54% were males, 82% received RCHOP therapy. Out of 114 patients, 27 (23%) patients did not have a staging BM biopsy. The sensitivity of PET/CT scan was 73% and Specificity 87%. Positive predictive value (PPV) 50% and Negative predictive value (NPV) 95%.

Patients with positive focal PET/CT were more likely (50% vs 5%), χ^2 (1, N=74) = 19.9, (p <0.001) to have a positive BMBX in comparison those with negative PET/CT scan. There was correlation of bone marrow involvement with clinical stage IV (31%), III (13%), II (5%), I (0%), χ^2 (1, N=74) = 10.14, (p=0.02) and IPI score: High (14%), Int-High (40%), Low-Int (10%), Low (3%) %), χ^2 (1, N=74) = 10.7, (p=0.019). Cytopenia was not associated with BM involvement χ^2 (1, N=74) = 1.37, (p=0.242).

The 5-year OS for PET/CT positive vs BM involved (38% vs 31% p=0.69), and PET/CT negative vs BM uninvolved patients (44% vs 50% p=0.46) were not statistically different.

Table 1: Demographics

| Age | 66 y (23-92) |
|---------|--------------|
| Males | 54% |
| Females | 46% |
| RCHOP | 82% |

Table 2. PFT/RM Concordance v Discordance outcomes

|)16 | le 2: PET/BM Concordance v Discordance outcomes | | | | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 5 year OS (95% CI) | p value | | | | |
| | PET/CT+ | 38 (14-61) | 0.60 | | | | |
| | BM+ | 31 (5-56) | 0.69 | | | | |
| | PET/CT- | 44 (32-55) | 0.46 | | | | |
| | ВМ- | 50 (38-61) | 0.40 | | | | |

Table 3: Accuracy and predictability of PET/CT in DLBCL Staging

| 73% |
|---------|
| 87% |
| 50% |
| 95% Tab |
| |

| | BM Involvement (%) | X ² | p value | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|------------|--|--|
| PET/CT + | 50 | 19.9 | <0.001 | | |
| PET/CT - | 5 | 19.9 | | | |
| Stage I | 0 | 10.14 | 0.02 | | |
| Stage II | 5 | | | | |
| Stage III | 13 | | | | |
| Stage IV | 31 | | | | |
| IPI Low | 3 | | 0.019 | | |
| IPI Int- | | | | | |
| low | 10 | 10.7 | | | |
| IPI Int- | | | | | |
| High | 40 | | | | |
| IPI High | 14 | | | | |
| Cytopeni | | | | | |
| 4a Correlation of DLBCL with PFT and prognostic factors | | | | | |

ble 45 Correlation of DLBCL with PET and prognostic factors

0.242 oresent Cytopeni

CONCLUSIONS

- PT/CT is a valuable diagnostic tool for detection of focal bone marrow involvement in DLBCL. The long-term prognostic value of PET/CT is similar to that obtained by a bone marrow biopsy.
- Our study confirms previous retrospective data suggesting high level of accuracy for detecting bone marrow involvement in patients with DLBCL³
- Patients with a Positive PET/CT had a Higher IPI, clinical Stage and Bone marrow involvement.
- The high negative predictive value may help to avoid bone marrow biopsies especially if clinically early stage disease.
- Cytopenia did not predict BM involvement.
- Unilateral iliac crest BMB, may misses a significant proportion of marrow disease compared with bilateral sampling¹⁰ and an experience PET reviewer may be able to adequately stage aggressive NHL patients sparing invasive staging biopsies

REFERENCES

- 1. Morra E, Lazzarino M, Castello A, et al. Bone marrow and blood involvement by non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: a study of clinicopathologic correlations and prognostic significance in relationship to the Working Formulation. Eur J Haematol. 1989;42(5):445-453.
- 2. Sehn LH, Scott DW, Chhanabhai M, et al. Impact of concordant and discordant bone marrow involvement on outcome in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma treated with R-CHOP. J Clin Oncol. 2011;29(11):1452-1457
- 3. Khan AB, Barrington SF, Mikhaeel NG, et al. PET-CT staging of DLBCL accurately identifies and provides new insight into the clinical significance of bone marrow involvement. Blood. 2013;122(1):61-67.
- 4. Adams HJ, Kwee TC, de Keizer B, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis on the diagnostic performance of FDG-PET/CT in detecting bone marrow involvement in newly diagnosed Hodgkin lymphoma: is bone marrow biopsy still necessary? Ann Oncol. 2014;25(5):921-927
- 5. Bos GM, van Putten WL, van der Holt B, van den Bent M, Verdonck LF, Hagenbeek A. For which patients with aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma is prophylaxis for central nervous system disease mandatory? Dutch HOVON Group. Ann Oncol. 1998;9(2):191-194.
- 6. Kumar A, Vanderplas A, LaCasce AS, et al. Lack of benefit of central nervous system prophylaxis for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era: findings from a large national database. Cancer. 2012;118(11):2944-2951.
- 7. El-Galaly TC, d'Amore F, Mylam KJ, et al. Routine bone marrow biopsy has little or no therapeutic consequence for positron emission tomography/computed tomography-staged treatment-naive patients with Hodgkin lymphoma. J Clin Oncol. 2012;30(36):4508-4514. 8. Elstrom RL, Tsai DE, Vergilio JA, Downs LH, Alavi A, Schuster SJ. Enhanced marrow [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose uptake related to myeloid hyperplasia in Hodgkin's lymphoma can
- simulate lymphoma involvement in marrow. Clin Lymphoma. 2004;5(1):62-64. 9. Carr R, Barrington SF, Madan B, et al. Detection of lymphoma in bone marrow by whole-body positron emission tomography. *Blood.* 1998;91(9):3340-3346.
- 10. Hodges GF, Lenhardt TM, Cotelingam JD. Bone marrow involvement in large-cell lymphoma. Prognostic implications of discordant disease. *Am J Clin Pathol.* 1994;101(3):305-311.